## SELECTION Phase 3 Readout a Couple of Weeks out Plus Our Cheat Sheet on Historic UC Phase 3 Outcomes



What's new post 1Q20? COVID-19 has negatively impacted a few programs, a common refrain for most non-oncology programs. However, 2020 is far from dull with a surfeit of potentially platform and Gilead (GILD; not rated) licensing deal-validating late and midstage readouts. Key upcoming deliverables include: (1) topline data from the Phase 3 SELECTION trial, anticipated prior to the end of 2Q20; (2) filgotinib approvals in the U.S., EU, and Japan, in 2H20; (3) Phase 2a data from the PINTA program, i.e., GLPG1205 in IPF during 2H20. Recall, approximately 69 IPF patients have been enrolled in the PINTA, which is evaluating GLPG1205 atop nintedanib or pirfenidone, $33 \%$ each, while the remainder are on local standard-of-care; (4) Phase 2a readout in systemic scleroderma, i.e., the NOVESA study of ziritaxestat, during 2H20; and (5) ROCCELLA, the 850+ patients Phase 2a study in OA for GLPG1972, in 2H20. Readouts negatively impacted by COVID-19, include: (1) futility analysis from the ISABELA program pushed into 1 H 21 , about three months behind prior schedule. Note, 1,000 patients been recruited into the dual Phase 3 programs as of April 2020; and (2) first look at the TOLEDO platform, now with GLPG3970 as the lead as opposed to GLPG3312, expected during 1H21, as opposed to 2 H 20 . The switch back to -3970 and futility analysis pushout caused significant hand wringing on May 8, 2020, pulling the stock down $4 \%$ while the comp group was up $1.5 \%$, following the 1Q20 print. However, we are not about to second guess our Buy rating on the stock, given the near-to-intermediate term calendar.

Looking past biologics in UC. While biologics are the mainstay under current therapeutic algorithms: (1) the cumulative relapse rates vary between $67 \%$ and $83 \%$ after 10 years; (2) a high percentage of patients have an inadequate response with about $30 \%$ being characterized as primary non-responders and a further $50 \%$ lose response over the time after initial remission, i.e., secondary non-responders, or develop adverse events that lead to discontinuation. Hence, there is a group of patients in whom remission cannot be achieved and surgery is necessary to control the disease. A recent meta-analysis indicated five- and 10 -year risk of surgery at $11.6 \%$ and $15.6 \%$, respectively. In North America, the prevalence of UC ranges from 120 to 250 cases per 100,000 people, and the incidence ranges from 8 to 20 cases per 100,000 people. Patients can be diagnosed with UC at any age; however, the disease has an age distribution characterized by two peaks of incidence at 15 to 30 years and 50 to 70 years. Filgotinib, a JAK1i with a cleaner safety profile compared to the other pan- and selective JAKi's( refer to May the Force Be With Filgotinib; Raising Target to $\$ 150$ ), could position it as the preferred agent in IBD compared to biologics based on: (1) oral administration, which increases treatment compliance; (2) quick onset of action; (3) lack of immunogenicity; (4) short half-life, (5) linear PK; and (6) a differentiated safety profile from its three RA-centric Phase 3 studies complemented by numerous other Phase 2 programs.

We expect the SELECTION study to readout positively. (1) the prior history of JAKi's; and (2) compelling filgotinib data from CD, i.e., the FITZROY study. Recall, patients in the FITZROY trial were required to have a total SES-CD $\geq 7$ at trial entry with ulceration scores of $\geq 1$ in at least one ileocolonic segment. This to us remains a key differentiator vs. the Pfizer (PFE; not rated) experience with Xeljanz in the same indication, where enrollment of mild to moderate patients potentially doomed the trial. Furthermore, the placebo remission rate in the FITZROY program was in line with successful biologics, i.e., about $23 \%$ and endoscopic placebo remission rates were $2-7 \%$. Hence, FITZROY success was not due to an underperformance of the placebo cohort, but reflects an active and selective JAK1i; (3) these successes mimicked in to other indications including PsA, and AS, for which registration studies are underway with readouts in 2021 and beyond.

Baseline characteristics matter in UC. An analysis of multiple prior Phase 3 programs in UC lead us to the following observation with regard to patient characteristics that either trended, or were significantly associated, with higher clinical remission rates compared with placebo: (1) gender, males have a better response; (2) age, patients older than 41 responded better; (3) baseline or concomitant corticosteroid use, users responded better; (4) baseline or concomitant immunosuppressant use, users responded better; (5) prior anti-TNF exposure, no exposure equates to better response; and (6) baseline hs-CRP level, $<5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ correlates with better outcomes. Surprisingly, baseline Mayo score and duration of disease did not improve clinical remission rates relative to placebo

Normalized outcomes are consistent across multiple Phase 3 programs. Clinical remission rates increases from a range of $15 \%$ to $20 \%$ during induction therapy to $25 \%$ to $40 \%$ during maintenance therapy, see Exhibit 1, 2, and 3. Oral JAKi appeared to have similar efficacy compared with biologics after adjusting for patient baseline characteristics. For example, on paper adalimumab and vedolizumab appear superior to upadactinib and tofacitinib induction therapies, but the percent of patients with prior anti-TNF use in the VARSITY trial was only $21 \%$, whereas in the studies with JAKi these rates were markedly higher, i.e., from $53 \%$ to $79 \%$, implying a more advanced population. These, in turn, might have contributed to the higher infection rates compared with the VARSITY study. A concerning trend we found with upadacitinib is the higher rates of infections and cardiovascular events, relative to tofactinib and mAbs; however, these rates are derived from a relatively small number of patients, and could evolve with more patients. We note the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the Phase 3 SELECTION 1 study of filgotinib in UC are the same as peers, and use the same primary endpoints, see Exhibit 4. One metric to watch we think is the rates of Herpes Zoster (HZ) infection rates in the SELECTION program. Recall, in a pooled analysis of Phase 2, 3, maintenance, and OLE global tofacitinib data on 1,157 UC patients, which encompasses about 1,612.8 patient-years (PY) of exposure, indicated that $5.6 \%$ patients developed 69 events of HZ infection with incidence rate of 4.1 per 100 PY . The risk of HZ with tofacitinib 10 mg BID was higher ( 6.6 per $100 \mathrm{PY} ; 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 3.2-12.2$ ), compared with 5 mg BID ( 2.1 per $100 \mathrm{PY} ; 95 \%$ $\mathrm{Cl} 0.4-6.0$ ), and placebo ( 1.0 per $100 \mathrm{PY} ; 95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 0-5.4$ ), suggesting a dose-response relationship.

Exhibit 1: Clinical Remission From Maintenance (Left) and Induction (Right) Therapy in UC


Source: H.C. Wainwright \& Co. research adapted from N EngI J Med 2017; 376:1723-1736 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1606910, Gastroenterology. 2020 Feb 22. pii: S0016-5085(20)30241-9. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.030.

Exhibit 2: Detailed Comparison of Moderate to Severe UC Clinical Trials for Maintenance Therapy

| Clinical Trials | GEMINI 1 |  | UNIFI |  | Octave Sustain |  |  | VARSITY, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doses | - | 300 mg Q8W | - | 90 mg | - | 5 mg BID | 10 mg BID | 40 mg | 300 mg |
| Drug | Placebo | Vedolizumab | Placebo | Ustekinumab | Placebo | Tofacitinib | Tofacitinib | Adalimuma | edolizumab |
| Study Phase | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3b | 3b |
| \% Concomitant Corticosteroids | 57\% | 57\% | 54\% | 54\% | 51\% | 51\% | 44\% | 36\% | 36\% |
| Median Age | 40.3 | 41 | 42 | 39.5 | 43.4 | 41.9 | 42.9 | 40.5 | 40.8 |
| \% Male | 55\% | 57\% | 61\% | 53\% | 59\% | 52\% | 56\% | 56\% | 61\% |
| Baseline weight | 74.7 | 78.2 | 71.7 | 72 |  |  |  | 73.4 | 72.7 |
| Baseline CRP mg/L |  |  | 3.4 | 4 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |  |
| \% Prior anti-TNF Use | 37\% | 41\% | 50\% | 51\% | 47\% | 46\% | 51\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Safety Patient N | 126 | 122 | 175 | 176 | 198 | 198 | 197 | 386 | 385 |
| \% with SAE | 16\% | 8\% | 10\% | 9\% | 7\% | 5\% | 6\% | 14\% | 11\% |
| \% Any Infections | 71\% | 71\% | 49\% | 46\% | 24\% | 36\% | 40\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| \% Cardiovascular Events |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| \% Malignancy | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |  |
| Efficacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patient N | 126 | 122 | 175 | 176 | 198 | 198 | 197 | 386 | 385 |
| Maintenance Endpoint (Weeks) | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| \% Achieving 6-Month Steroid Free Maintenance | 14\% | 31\% | 23\% | 42\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Clinical Remission Mayo, Maintenance | 16\% | 42\% | 24\% | 44\% | 11\% | 34\% | 41\% | 23\% | 31\% |
| \% Histologic Remission Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3\% | 10\% |
| \% Achieving 6-Month Steroid Free Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22\% | 13\% |

Source:N Engl J Med 2013;369:699-710 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1215734, N Engl J Med 2019;381:1201-14 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1900750, N Engl J Med 2017; 376:1723-1736 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1606910, N Engl J Med 2019;381:1215-26. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1905725.

Exhibit 3: Detailed Comparison of Moderate to Severe UC Clinical Trials for Induction Therapy

| Study | VARSITY |  | GEMINI 1 |  | UNIFI |  | NCT02819635 |  |  | Octave 1 |  | Octave 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dose | 40 mg | 300 mg | - | 300 mg | - | $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 30 \mathrm{mg} \\ \text { QD } \end{gathered}$ | 45 mg QD | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{mg} \\ \text { BID } \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 10 \mathrm{mg} \\ \text { BID } \end{gathered}$ |
| Drug | Adalimum | dolizumab | Placebo | Vedolizumab | Placebo | Ustekinumab | Placebo | Upadacitin | Upadacitinib | Placebo | Tofacitinib | Placebo | Tofacitinib |
| Study Phase | 3b | 3b | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 b | 2b | 2b | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \% Pt Using Corticosteroids | 36\% | 36\% | 56\% | 56\% | 49\% | 53\% | 54\% | 48\% | 50\% | 48\% | 45\% | 49\% | 46\% |
| Median Age | 40.5 | 40.8 | 41.2 | 40.1 | 41.2 | 41.7 | 40 | 42 | 37 | 41.8 | 41.3 | 40.4 | 41.4 |
| \% Male | 56\% | 61\% | 62\% | 59\% | 62\% | 61\% | 63\% | 60\% | 66\% | 63\% | 58\% | 49\% | 60\% |
| \% White | 88\% | 90\% | 77\% | 81\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline weight | 73.4 | 72.7 | 72.4 | 72.4 | 72.9 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline CRP mg/L |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 5 | 4.6 |
| \% Prior anti-TNF Use | 21\% | 21\% | 49\% | 42\% | 50\% | 51\% | 48\% | 79\% | 70\% | 53\% | 53\% | 58\% | 55\% |
| Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Safety Patient N | 386 | 385 | 149 | 225 | 319 | 320 | 46 | 52 | 56 | 122 | 476 | 112 | 429 |
| \% with SAE | 14\% | 11\% | 7\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 11\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 3\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| \% Any Infections | 4\% | 5\% | 15\% | 14\% | 15\% | 16\% | 35\% | 12\% | 23\% | 16\% | 23\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| \% Herpes Zoster |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0.5\% |
| \% Cardiovascular Events | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0.5\% |
| Efficacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patient N | 386 | 385 | 149 | 225 | 319 | 322 | 46 | 52 | 56 | 122 | 476 | 112 | 429 |
| Induction Endpoint (Weeks) | 14 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| \% Clinical Remission Mayo, Induction | 21\% | 27\% | 5\% | 17\% | 5\% | 16\% | 0\% | 12\% | 20\% | 8\% | 19\% | 4\% | 17\% |
| \% Endoscopic Remission Induction |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% | 10\% | 18\% | 2\% | 7\% | 2\% | 7\% |
| \% Histologic Remission Induction | 3\% | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: N Engl J Med 2019;381:1215-26. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1905725, N Engl J Med 2013;369:699-710 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1215734 , N Engl J Med 2017; 376:1723-1736 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1606910, Gastroenterology. 2020 Feb 22. pii: S0016-5085(20)30241-9. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.030, N Engl J Med 2017; 376:1723-1736 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1606910.

Exhibit 4: Trial Design for Phase 2/3b SELECTION Program Evaluating Filgotinib in UC

| Component | SELECTION1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 2b/3 |
| Patient N | 1351 |
| Design | Randomized, Placebo Controlled |
| Doses | 100 mg , 200 mg |
| Inclusion Criteria 1 | Diagnosis of UC for at least 6 months with endoscopic and histopathologic evidence |
| Inclusion Criteria 2 | Moderately to Severely Active UC |
| Inclusion Criteria 3 | Inadequate clinical response to corticosteroids, immunomodulators, anti-TNF, or vedolizumab |
| Inclusion Criteria 4 | Absence of Crohns disease, or other types of colitis |
| Induction Primary Endpoints | Percent Achieving Clinical Remission Based on Components of Mayo Clinic Score at Week 10 |
| Secondary Endpoints | Percent Achieving Endoscopic Subscore of 0 at Week 10 |
| Secondary Endpoints | Percent Achieving Histologic Remission at Week 10 |
| Maintenance Secondary Endpoints | Percent Achieving Clinical Remission Based on Components of Mayo Clinic Score at Week 58 |
| Secondary Endpoints | Percent Achieving 6-Month Corticosteroid-Free Remission Based on Components of MCS at Week 58 |
| Secondary Endpoints | Percent Achieving Endoscopic Subscore of 0 at Week 58 |
| Secondary Endpoints | Percent Achieving Histologic Remission at Week 58 |

## Source: Adapted from Clinicaltrials.gov.

1 Q20 housekeeping. Galapagos reported a net loss of $€ 50.6 \mathrm{M}$ vs. our estimate of $€ 80.9 \mathrm{M}$, with GAAP EPS of $€ 0.78$ vs. our estimate of $€ 1.30$. Total revenue for the quarter was $€ 106.9 \mathrm{M}$ vs. our estimate of $€ 103.0 \mathrm{M}$. Galapagos exited the quarter with about $\$ 6.2 \mathrm{~B}(€ 5.7 \mathrm{~B})$ in cash and equivalents, which should be sufficient to fund operations for the foreseeable future. Recall, commercial launch of filgotinib in RA is expected during 4Q20, with additional indications to follow during 2021 and beyond. Due to the negative impact of COVID-19 on the clinical programs, cash burn guidance has been revised lower. FY20 operational cash requirement is now expected to be in the range of $€ 400$ and $€ 430 \mathrm{M}$, compared to $€ 420$ and $€ 450 \mathrm{M}$, previously. For FY20, we now expect a net loss of $€ 103.0 \mathrm{M}$ vs. prior $€ 212.8$, and GAAP EPS of ( $€ 1.58$ ) vs. prior ( $€ 3.40$ ), the revision reflects potential revenue recognition from its Gilead partnership during 4Q20.

Valuation and risks to our investment thesis. We reiterate our Buy rating and 12-month price target of $\$ 302$ on shares of Galapagos. Our target is derived from a 12-year DCF-based, sum-of-the-parts analysis, which includes a beta of 1.41, terminal growth rate of $-3.0 \%$, risk premium of $4.93 \%$, calculated WACC of $8.2 \%$, and tax rate of $20 \%$ beginning in FY 2025. Filgotinib ( $82 \%$ ), GLPG1690 and GLPG1972 ( $2 \%$ each) together make up about $86 \%$ of our value, with the remainder derived from the probability-adjusted, filgotinib-related milestone payments. For filgotinib, we assume POS in the range of: $80 \%$ for RA, $65 \%$ for UC, and $60 \%$ for CD, PsA and AS each, whereas for ' 1690 and ' 1972 , we assign a $35 \%$ and $10 \%$ POS, respectively. Key risks include: emergence of safety concerns, clinical risks, regulatory risks, COVID-19 disruptions, and financial risks. Furthermore, regulatory and commercial strategy for filgotinib is under the control of partner, Gilead, not an established player in autoimmune indications. Hence, Gilead may not be able to drive rapid adoption of filgotinib, especially if the overall profile is relatively undifferentiated from AbbVie's (ABBV; not rated) upadacitinib, in our view. Hence, our estimates could be negatively impacted if AbbVie successfully leverages its market positioning with Humira during the launch of RINVOQ.

## Valuation: Galapagos (GLPG) Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Analysis

|  |  | Discounted Cash Flow Analysis |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | 2024 |  | 2025 |  | 2026 |  | 2027 |  | 2028 |  | 2029 |  | 2030 |  | 2031 | TV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ticker | GLPG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period Galapagos | 2020E | EBIT (000s €) | $€$ | (82,017) | € | 119,960 | € | 570,153 | € | 1,135,648 | € | 1,816,857 | $€$ | 2,061,754 | € | 2,545,424 | $€$ | 2,501,966 | € | 2,645,740 | $€$ | 2,711,551 | € | 2,738,748 | $€$ | 2,758,177 |  |
| Beta est | 1.41 | Tax rate |  | -0.3\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 20.0\% |  |
| Risk-free rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ )(10 yr y yield) | 1.25\% | EBIT*(1-t) |  | $(82,286)$ |  | 119,960 |  | 570,153 |  | 1,135,648 |  | 1,816,857 |  | 1,649,403 |  | 2,036,339 |  | 2,001,573 |  | 2,116,592 |  | 2,169,241 |  | 2,190,998 |  | 2,206,542 |  |
| Risk premium ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) | 4.93\% | Capital expenditures |  | $(20,866)$ |  | (22,953) |  | $(25,248)$ |  | $(27,773)$ |  | $(30,550)$ |  | $(33,605)$ |  | $(36,965)$ |  | $(40,662)$ |  | (44,728) |  | $(49,201)$ |  | $(54,121)$ |  | $(59,533)$ |  |
| Cost of equity (KE) | 8.2\% | \% growth |  | -6.8\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 10.0\% |  |
| Cost of debt ( $K_{D}$ ) | 0.0\% | Depreciation |  | 14,689 |  | 17,627 |  | 18,508 |  | 19,434 |  | 20,405 |  | 21,425 |  | 22,497 |  | 23,622 |  | 24,803 |  | 26,043 |  | 27,345 |  | 28,712 |  |
| Terminal growth rate | -3.0\% | \% growth |  | 21.5\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  |
| Terminal value (\% of total value) | 42.7\% | Change in non-cash working capital |  | 65,981 |  | 65,999 |  | 70,057 |  | 74,341 |  | 78,863 |  | 22,250 |  | 11,434 |  | 17,710 |  | 19,038 |  | 23,570 |  | 29,793 |  | 36,650 |  |
| Shareholder equity | 2,828,109 | \% growth |  | 419.6\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.1\% |  | 6.1\% |  | 6.1\% |  | -71.8\% |  | -48.6\% |  | 54.9\% |  | 7.5\% |  | 23.8\% |  | 26.4\% |  | 23.0\% |  |
| Debt outstanding | 0 | Free cash flow to the firm |  | $(112,712)$ |  | 94,540 |  | 543,851 |  | 1,108,513 |  | 1,788,949 |  | 1,682,183 |  | 2,084,367 |  | 2,048,147 |  | 2,167,085 |  | 2,220,915 |  | 2,242,671 |  | 2,258,137 | 19,554,806 |
| Total capital | 2,828,109 | Discount factor |  | 0.97 |  | 0.92 |  | 0.85 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.73 |  | 0.67 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.58 |  | 0.53 |  | 0.49 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.42 |  |
| Equity/cap | 100.0\% | Present value of cash flows |  | (109,789) |  | 87,374 |  | 464,531 |  | 875,071 |  | 1,305,173 |  | 1,134,255 |  | 1,298,911 |  | 1,179,597 |  | 1,153,496 |  | 1,092,546 |  | 1,019,626 |  | 948,840 | 7,795,845 |
| Debt/cap | 0.0\% | Value of firm |  | 18,245,476 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC (calculated) | 8.2\% | Debt |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC (applied) | 8.2\% | Value of equity |  | 18,245,476 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shares outstanding | 65,144 | Value per share (\$) | \$ | 302.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: H.C. Wainwright \& Co. estimates.


Cash Flow Statement


## Balance Sheot

| Balance sheet | 2018A | 10194 | $\underline{19}$ | 198 | 19 | 2019 A | 202A | ${ }^{2020 E}$ | S020 | 1020 E | 2020 E | 2021 E | 2025 | 2023 E | 20245 | 028E | 2086 | ${ }^{2027 E}$ | 028E | ${ }^{2029 E}$ | ${ }^{2000}$ | 2031 F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $\epsilon_{1,290,796}$ | € 1.22,901 | $\epsilon^{1,147,923}$ | $\epsilon 5.599 .787$ | $\epsilon 1,861,16$ | $\epsilon 1,861,16$ | ¢ 2,74,573 | ¢ 2.587,622 | ¢2.410.019 | $\epsilon 2,483,241$ | ¢ 2.438.241 | ${ }^{2.260 .366}$ | 2.540.076 |  | 5.152.901 | 8.693,56 |  | (0.564, 29 | 2.610.366 |  |  | 19,20.515 |
| Trade and onerer reavivales | 18.609 112023 | ${ }^{15.347}$ | ${ }^{42,067}$ | ${ }^{32,642}$ | ${ }_{54,099}$ | 54,099 | ${ }^{27,096}$ |  | ${ }^{4.5 .846}$ |  | 5.5 .46 <br> 5 <br> 50.84 | ${ }_{66,733}^{689}$ | 75,785 | 86,000 | ${ }^{96,377}$ | 137,05 <br> 18880 | 186,966 | cen 235.988 | ${ }^{287,167}$ | ${ }^{3392929}$ | 391.522 <br>  <br> 46923 |  |
| Curent R8D incentives receivalies Curener testicted cash | ${ }^{11,203}$ | 11,645 | 11,644 | 9,746 | 21.949 3.919216 | 21,949 <br> 3.919216 | 22.084 2.978 .805 | 30,834 2.978.005 | ${ }_{\text {20, }}^{40,888}$ | $\begin{array}{r}50,834 \\ \text { 297885 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}50.384 \\ \text { 297885 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 67.289 <br> 297885 <br> 298 | $\begin{array}{r}84,239 \\ \hline \text { 297805 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10.1068 \\ \hline 2.978 .805 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}19,677 \\ \hline 2.97885 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}168.890 \\ \hline 2978805 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 226.584 2.978 .805 | 284,369 2.978.805 | $\begin{array}{r}344,882 \\ 297885 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 400.691 2.97.805 | 469,132 2.97.805 | $\begin{array}{r}532.076 \\ \text { 2.978.005 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Curen resticea cash | 8.243 | 9.351 | 6.970 | 8.837 | ${ }^{3,19,188}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 3, } 919,2138 \\ 9,136}}$ | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{2,787805}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a,978,005 } \\ 9,705}}^{\text {2, }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2,978,805 }}^{10.05}$ | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{\text {2,978,805 }} 11.705$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 2,978,805 } \\ 11,705}}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2,978,805 <br> 21,55 | 2,978.85 27, 160 | 2,978.85 <br> 2268 | ${ }_{2}^{2.978 .805} 3$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { 2.978.805 } \\ 44.047}}{\text { a }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {2,978, }}^{56,167}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 2,978.805 } \\ 62001}}^{\text {2, }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2978.805 \\ 69024}}^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Total current assets | ,328,551 | 1,25, 244 | 1,208,604 | 5,65,012 | 5.86,928 | 5,865,928 | 5,78,263 | 5,42,812 | 5,992,209 | 5,35,431 | 5,35, 431 | ${ }^{5,38,988}$ | 5,700,759 | ${ }^{6,586,909}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8,38,384}$ | 10,017,108 | 12,76,682 | 14,13,218 | 16,27,386 | 18,557,252 | 20,98,4,45 | ${ }^{2,320,906}$ |
| Intangibe assels | ${ }^{3,632}$ | ${ }_{6,497}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{34,306}$ | ${ }^{34,756}$ |  | ${ }^{35}, 206$ | 47,290 | ${ }^{59,979}$ | ${ }^{73,302}$ | 87,291 | 101,980 | 117,403 | ${ }^{133,588}$ | 150,02 | 168,456 | 187,203 | ${ }^{200,887}$ |
| Propery, prant and equipment, net | -2,137 | 年,542 | ¢ 51,180 | ${ }_{\substack{61,883 \\ 19096}}$ | c6,052 | c6, 6.052 | ${ }_{\substack{6,979 \\ 4 \\ 406 \\ \hline}}$ | 69,479 4 | 71,979 | ${ }^{74,479}$ | 74,479 | ${ }^{82,427}$ | ${ }^{91,8,45}$ | 102,288 | ${ }^{115,765}$ | 130,582 | 147,807 | 167,30 | 190,367 | 216,364 | 24,008 | 279,725 |
|  | 2.514 73.43 | 2.511 76.029 |  | 19,406 89.965 | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{4,205}$ | -4,205 | 4,206 <br> 93,156 | 4,206 93.156 | 4.206 93,156 | ${ }_{\substack{4,2066 \\ 93,156}}$ | $4,2,266$ <br> 93,156 |  | 3,483 <br> 93,156 | 3.094 <br> 93,156 | ${ }_{\substack{2,685 \\ 98,156}}^{2,26}$ |  | 1.806 <br> 93,156 | +1.333 | 837 <br> 156 <br> 15 | 933,166 | 837 <br> , 156 | 837 <br> 3,156 |
| Onter ron-uruent assels | 7,9,919 | ${ }_{6}^{6,37}$ | 8,7,4313 5 | ${ }_{5}^{5.994}$ | 14,090 | 14,090 | 13,946 | ${ }_{13,946}$ |  | ceme |  | cose |  |  | cose |  |  |  | cose |  |  | cose |
| Totala asels | 1,439,496 | 1,400,200 | 1,357,488 | 5,56,752 | 6.068,609 | 6,068,609 | 5,992,406 | 5.587,905 | 5.710,252 | 5,76,424 | 5,75,424 | 5,629,571 | 5,963,137 | ${ }_{6,873,236}$ | 8,693,137 | 10,35,029 | 12,450,800 | 14,522,882 | 16,726,294 | 19,050,011 | 21,439,605 | 23,95,458 |
| Silities and stockholders' equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ent liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financel lease liabilites |  | 4,580 | 5.141 | 5,251 | 5.266 | ${ }_{5,826}$ | ${ }_{6}$,210 | ${ }_{6}^{6.10}$ | 6,210 | ${ }^{6,210}$ | 6.210 | ${ }^{6.210}$ | 6,210 | 6,210 | ${ }_{6}, 210$ | ${ }^{6.210}$ | ${ }^{6.210}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6.210}$ | ${ }^{6.210}$ | ${ }^{6,210}$ | ${ }^{6,210}$ | ${ }^{6.210}$ |
| (tade and other payabes |  | ${ }_{\substack{69,880 \\ 1,168}}^{1}$ | ${ }_{\substack{86,216 \\ 1,031}}^{\text {c, }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{156,254 \\ 1,032}}^{\text {c, }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{169,471 \\ 1,141}}^{1097}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1929,971}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 217,477 } \\ 1,141}}^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 243,471 } \\ 1.141}}^{\text {20, }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 347,387 } \\ 1.141}}$ | 456,933 ${ }_{\text {4,141 }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 950,264 } \\ 1.141}}^{1}$ | (1,099,514 | $\underset{\substack{1,235,726 \\ 1,141}}{1}$ | (1.389,288 ${ }_{\substack{1,41}}^{1}$ | $\underset{\substack{1.550,47 \\ 1,141}}{\substack{\text { c, }}}$ | (1,799,706 |
| Curent financid instume |  |  | - |  | 6,198 | 6,198 | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | 26,727 | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | ${ }^{26,727}$ | 26,727 | ${ }^{26,727}$ | 26,727 | ${ }^{26,727}$ |
| Curent dederede income | 149.801 | 123.822 |  | 468.764 | 414.298 | 414.298 | 419.071 |  |  | 419.071 | 419.071 | 419.071 | 419.071 | 419.071 | 419.071 |  | 419.071 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ceurent liailities | 219,944 | 199,450 | ${ }^{188,773}$ | ${ }^{631,301}$ | ${ }^{571,793}$ | ${ }^{517,793}$ | ${ }^{622,626}$ | ${ }_{666,126}$ | ${ }^{670,2626}$ | ${ }^{696,626}$ | ${ }^{696,626}$ | ${ }^{800,536}$ | ${ }^{909,642}$ | -1,024,203 | 1,144,992 | 1,270,795 | 1,403,413 | 1,542,663 | ${ }^{\text {1,688,875 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {1,842,397 }}$ | 2,003,596 | 2,172,855 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\substack{3,51 \\ 21.144}}^{1.4}$ | - $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3,939 } \\ & \text { 21,899 }\end{aligned}$ | 4.026 22.131 | c,8,683 <br> 26.547 | 8,263 <br> 26.547 | 8.444 26.968 | ${ }_{\substack{8,444 \\ 27.618}}$ | (8,444 | \% $\begin{aligned} & 8.444 \\ & 28.918\end{aligned}$ | c. 8.444 | (8,444 | ¢, 9.444 | 8,8.444 <br> 45.30 | 8,444 <br> 52.013 | (8,444 <br> 59.299 |  | 8,8,444 <br> 76.130 | 8.444 <br> 85.828 <br> 85 | 8,444 | 8,444 <br> 108.29 | 8,444 21, 136 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{\text {268.5478 }}$ | 2.566.348 | ${ }_{\text {2,494,377 }}^{\text {26,968 }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2394,387}$ |  |  |  | ( $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } 3,84 \\ \text { 802650 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 39,968 $1.410,93$ |  | 520,13 | 59,299 66929 |  | (76,760 <br> 36,296 |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabillies | 225,277 | 224,45 | 214,481 | 3,316,471 | 3,192,951 | 3,192,951 | 3,152,365 | 3.076,515 | 3,00,665 | 2,92,3,315 | 2,928,315 | 2,645,525 | 2,368,427 | 2,097,332 | 2,099,245 | 2,007,834 | 1,998,467 | 1,996,533 | 2,002,422 | 2,066,632 | 2,164,565 | 2,32,731 |
| Shaie captal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288,106 |  |
| Share premium account | 1,277,780 | 1,280,452 | 1,283,550 | 2.268.555 | 2,703,583 | 2,703.583 | 2708,144 | 2,72,114 | 2,734,614 | 2,748,614 | 2.748.614 | 2.803,314 | 2.86, 4,83 | 2,929,670 | 3,002475 | 3,082,561 |  | ${ }^{3.267,559}$ | ${ }^{3,374,154}$ | 3,491,407 | ${ }^{3,620,386}$ | 3,762.263 |
|  | ${ }_{\text {(1,557) }}^{(1735)}$ | $\left.{ }^{(17250}\right)$ | ${ }^{(1,5355)}$ | ${ }^{(1,265)}$ |  |  | $(4,919)$ | $(4,919)$ | $(4,919)$ $(663)$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{(6,999}^{(6,99}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated losses | (29,779) | (340,020) | (37.588) | (3,907) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 503 | 563,709 |  | ¢,986,109 | .999,153 | 8.976,265 |  |  |  |  |
| Total stocknolders's equity | 1,214,249 | 1,175,755 | 1,143,367 | 2,53,281 | 2.87,.558 | 2.875,558 | 2,880,041 | 2,78, 390 | 2,70.587 | 2,82,109 | 2,82,109 | 2,98,046 | 3,594,710 | 4,775,903 | 6,643,892 | 8,351,195 | 10,452,333 | 12,526,39 | 14,72, ${ }^{\text {,52 }}$ | 16,983,379 | 19,275,04 | 21,593,727 |
| Toatl liabilites and stocknolders' equity | 61,43,996 | $\epsilon_{\text {¢,400,200 }}$ | ¢ 1,357 , 948 | ¢ $5.551,752$ | 6,068.69 | $\epsilon 6,068,009$ | ¢ $5,922,006$ | ¢ 5,857,005 | 6 5,710,252 | $\epsilon 5.756,24$ | 6,765,924 | ${ }_{6}$ 5.629,571 | ${ }_{\epsilon} 5.963,137$ | $\epsilon_{6,8,73,236}$ | ¢ 8,693,137 | E 10,359,029 | $\epsilon_{\text {¢ } 12,450,800}$ | ¢ 14,522,882 | ¢ 16,726,294 | E 19,050,011 | E 21,439,605 | € 23,95,458 |

Cash Outlook, Ratio Analysis, and Enterprise Value

| CASH OUCK LOOK | ${ }^{2018 \mathrm{~A}}$ | (198 | (198 | 3019a | 4019A | 2019 A | 1020 A | 2020 E | 3020 E | 4020 E | 2020 E | 2021 E | $2027 E$ | 2023 E | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 20275 | 2028E | 2029E | 2036 | 2031 E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} 178$. |  |  |  | $\|$¢ 912,955 <br> $\epsilon 6.372 .054$  |  |  |  |  | $\epsilon$ <br> 1.068 .452 <br> $\epsilon 1589.71$ <br> 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\frac{10.40595}{10.59}$ | $\frac{65095}{3.5 \text { as }}$ |  | $\frac{\frac{6}{5,780.82}}{11.0 \text { ys }}$ | 38.0 as | 32.5 qs | 29.095 | 30.5 ss , | 8.0 y/s | 7.0 ys | 6.5 ys | $7^{7.095}$ | 8.5 ys | 10.0 ys | ${ }^{11.515 y}$ | ${ }_{1} 13.0 \mathrm{ys}$ y | 14.5 yrs | 16.5 ys 5 | $\frac{6}{} \frac{6.988 .77}{18 . y / 5}$ | $\frac{622.20 .3020}{20.0 y_{5}}$ |
| Ratio anaysis | 2018 A | 1919A | $2019 A$ | 3019A | 10198 |  | 1020 A | 2020 E | 3020 E | 1020 E | 2020 E | 2021 E | 2027 E | $2028 E$ | 2024 E | $2023 E$ | 2028 E | 20275 | 2028 E | 2029 E | 2080 E | 20315 |
| EBit | ${ }^{(4,4,809)}$ | (53,242) | (44,367) | 490,631 | ) | 370,292 | (44,585) | ${ }^{666,6}$ | ${ }^{81,1,32)}$ | 110,521 | (82.077) | 119,960 | $5^{57,153}$ | 1,135,648 | ${ }^{1,816,857}$ | 2,061,754 | 2.545,424 | 2,50,966 | 24,740 | 11,551 | 238,748 | 2,75,177 |
|  |  | ${ }^{(50,484)}$ | (41,472) | 493.815 |  |  | (40,396) |  | 77,802) | 114,021 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {1,1,15,081 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {1,887.262 }}$ | 2,083,179 | 2,567,921 | ${ }^{2.525 .588}$ | 2.67.543 | 737.594 | 266,093 | 2,786.889 |
| EEVITA\% or | 隹 | ${ }_{\text {1888) }}^{1238 \%}$ | -61\%) | ${ }_{6 \times}$ |  | - ${ }^{438}$ | ${ }^{3823 \%}$ | ${ }^{61750}$ | (1546) | ${ }^{399}$ | ${ }^{-110}$ | - ${ }^{158 \%}$ | - | \% ${ }^{2 \%}$ | - ${ }_{5}^{6}$ |  | ${ }^{62}$ |  | ${ }^{50}$ |  | \%0\% |  |
| Gross Proft Margin | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\%, | 00\% | 96\% | ${ }^{93 \%}$ | 93\% | 22\% | 92\% | 1\% | $91 \%$ | 1\% | 9\% | $91 \%$ |  |
| OoMargin |  | 130\% | 66\% | 76\% |  |  | ${ }^{42 \%}$ | 64\%\% | 78\%\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{(02,929)}^{(4,488)}$ | - $\begin{gathered}\text { 307.458.299 }\end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{(39.643)}$ | ${ }^{37.00 .788}$ | (44,221) | ${ }_{\text {(156,951) }}^{(66,51)}$ | ${ }^{(181,302)}$ | ${ }_{\text {cheren }}^{110.521}$ |  |  |  | , 1.135 .648 |  | ${ }^{1.6499973}$ | 2,036,938 1.941276 | 2, $\begin{gathered}202,202 \\ 10918 \\ 1028\end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{2,169,935}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,191,726 \\ 2213 \\ 2}}$ | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{2,207.306}$ |
| Book per share | $\epsilon \quad 23.25$ | $\epsilon 21.53$ ¢ | $\epsilon 20.86$ | $\epsilon \quad 40.92$ | $\epsilon \quad 46.32$ | $\epsilon \quad 47.83$ | ¢ 43.81 ¢ | ¢ 42.82 | $\epsilon 41.62$ ¢ | $\epsilon \quad 43.37$ | ${ }_{43.41}$ | 45.72 | 54.96 | ${ }^{72,88}$ | 101.18 | 126.92 | ¢ 158.54 | ¢ 189.62 | ¢ 222.44 | ¢ 250.06 | $\epsilon \quad 290.03$ |  |
| Net cast pershare | $\epsilon \quad 24.72$ | ${ }^{22} 39$ ¢ | ¢ 20.94 | $\epsilon 90.39$ | $\epsilon 29.99$ | € 30.97 | $\epsilon \quad 42.33$ ¢ | ¢ 39.84 | 37.12 є | $\epsilon \quad 37.39$ | 37.43 | 34.63 | 38.84 | 51.78 | 78.47 | ¢ 10173 | ¢ 131.05 | 91 | $\epsilon \quad 190$ | 222.69 | ¢ 255.65 | ${ }_{289.69}$ |
|  | 2\% | .3\% | - ${ }^{.3 \%} \times$ | - |  |  | -1\% | $-1 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} -2 \% \\ .3 \% \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{4}^{2 \%}$ | - |  | com |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current taio | ( 6.04 | ${ }_{6.31}$ | ${ }_{6.40}$ | 8.95 | 10.26 | 10.26 | ${ }_{9.28}$ | 8.73 | 8.19 | ${ }_{7} 9.95$ | 7.95 | ${ }_{6.73}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6} 27$ |  | ${ }_{7,32}$ | (288 | ${ }_{8.61}$ | ${ }_{9.15}$ | ${ }_{9.64}$ | 10.07 | 10.43 | 10\%\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rprise Value (MC + Total Debt - Cash) Market Cap (MC) | ${ }^{2,916,600} 4$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{4.654,4.477}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{5.071,758 \\ 6.219 .681}]{ }$ | 2,920,723 $8,520,51$ | $10,977,923$ $12,839,539$ | 10,977,923 $12,839,539$ | ${ }_{\text {8, }}^{\text {8,988,670 }} 1$ | $11,032,112$ $13,619,734$ | ${ }_{\substack{11,230,54 \\ 13,46,973}}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{11,236,027 \\ 13.674 .267}]{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{11,222,407} 1$ | ${ }^{11,427,703}$1,687,969 | ${ }_{\substack{11,775,269 \\ 13,7545}}^{\text {ind }}$ | ${ }^{10,349,527}$13,42776 | ${ }^{8.647,360}$$1,770,261$ | ${ }^{7} \begin{aligned} & 7,104,245 \\ & 13,97802\end{aligned}$ |  | $\underbrace{3.288 .919} 1$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{18,08,5686}}^{18681}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { 13, }}}_{\substack{(3,9536,333)}}$ |  |
| Curent Sha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\epsilon \quad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

